

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/079,604	02/20/2002	Thomas Kruger	70363	7284	
7590 09/22/2004			EXAMINER		
	ID TUTTLE, P.C.	RAGONESE, ANDREA M			
SCARBOROU SCARBOROU	GH STATION GH, NY 10510-0827	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•	,		3743	· · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	<u>'</u>		
Office Action Summary			10.				
		10/079,604		KRUGER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
The MAIL INC. DAT		Andrea M. Ra	_	3743	duana		
Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the co	ver sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress		
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m - If the period for reply specified ab - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or expections.	ORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 uailing date of this communication. by eis less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period w dended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing liee 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, I y within the statutory will apply and will ex , cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 26 Ju	uly 2004.					
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above class 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	e rejected.	wn from consi					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing	objected to by the Examine on is/are: a) accurate that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) drawing(s) be hetion is required i	eld in abeyance. See f the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/20/02	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	D-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of invention I, drawn to **claims 1-11**, in the reply filed on July 26, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) "that any unit which writes and reads a set of data requires a controlling device which gives a set of [instructions] to read and write in a certain manner to a unit." This is argument is found persuasive. Therefore, the restriction requirement of June 29, 2004 is withdrawn. Subsequently, this Office action addresses all the originally presented **claims 1-20** on the merits.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following typographical error: in line 3, "Ventilation," should be deleted and – Ventilation), – inserted therefor. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
 - claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, in claim 12, the phrase "a reading the data..." in line 10 on page 11 appears to be missing structural details necessary to define the metes and bounds of the claimed device, and as a result, renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

5. Any rejections in this Office action have been made by applying any pertinent

prior art in the field to the merits of the claimed invention as best understood by the

Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Gilmore et al. (US 5,931,160).

8. Regarding claims 1-11, Gilmore et al. discloses a medical engineering device

system fully capable of being programmed to carry out the processes as claimed.

Specifically, Gilmore et al. discloses a medical engineering device system, such as a

ventilator control system 10, that is programmed to

1) first, using a processor 22, read data specifying a number of different available

modes of operation (column 8, lines 31-35);

2) then write the data to a storage medium, such as a display controller 12

(column 8, lines 31-58); and

3) lastly, based on the data that is read by the embedded controller 14, clear the

available modes of operation using a processor 30 in order to operate the device

10 according to a patient's specific needs (column 8, line 59 through column 9,

line 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/079,604 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

9. Regarding **claims 12-20**, Gilmore et al. discloses a medical engineering device system comprising:

- a medical engineering device, such as a ventilator 10, with a separate data storage medium element connection (column 8, lines 21-30);
- a separate data storage medium element, such as a display controller 12, the storage medium 12 being any one of electronic, optical or magnetic storage medium connectable to the medical engineering device 10, the storage medium element 12 having data that specify a number of different available modes of operation on the medical engineering device 10, the data also determining the clearing of the available modes of operation on the medical engineering device 10 (column 8, lines 31-58);
- a connection 15 between the data storage medium element 12 and the medical engineering device 10 (column 8, lines 28-30);
- a data reading element, such as an embedded controller 14, for reading data from the data storage medium element 12 by a writing and reading unit, such as a sensor monitoring system 19, associated with the medical engineering device 10 (column 8, lines 59-66);
- and medical engineering device processor 30 clearing the available modes of operation on the medical engineering device 10 based on the reading of the data from the data storage medium element 12 (column 8, line 67 through column 9, line 12).

Art Unit: 3743

10. Regarding claims 12-20, the Examiner notes that Applicant has essentially claimed statements of intended use. Gilmore et al. discloses a system in which the claimed functional limitations can inherently be performed since the system utilizes a display controller 12 with a processor 22 in combination with an embedded controller 14 with a processor 30 that are capable of being programmed to perform the desired functions and data processing. These recitations are statements of intended use utilizing functional language, which may not be given patentable weight in apparatus claims. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function alone. See MPEP § 2114. Therefore, as broadly interpreted by the Examiner, the previously presented rejection is applied to claims 12-20 based on the prior art of record. See *In re Swinehart*, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971); *In re Schreiber*, 44 USPQ2d 1429 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Page 5

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lichtenstein (US 4,464,172), Hillsman (US 4,984,158), Willemot et al. (US 5,560,353), Biondi et al. (US 6,158,432), Biondi et al. (US 6,463,930 B2), Jonson (US 6,578,575 B1) and Biondi et al. (US 6,584,973 B1) all disclose medical engineering devices fully capable of being programmed to carry out the processes as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,604

Art Unit: 3743

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Ragonese whose telephone number is (703)

306-4055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8

am until 4 pm.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\Delta N/F$

Henry Mennett Supervisory Patent Examiner

G/gup 3700